









## Methods: Hierarchical Multiple regression assumptions

- The stem and leaf plots appeared symmetrical signalling that all variables in the regression models were normally distributed.
- Visual inspection of normal probability plot of standardised residuals, scatterplots of standardised residuals against standardised predicted values showed that the assumptions of normality, linearity and homoscedasticity were met.
- Two univariate outliers were identified and removed.
- The critical value for Mahalanobis distance  $x^2$  for df = 5 ( $\alpha = 0.001$ ) of 20.52 for all cases in the data set was not exceeded.
- ANOVA confirmed the predictive utility of the models



acad In mo colle =.360	lemic success in the odel 2, race and good 2, race and good 2, race and good 2, race and good 2, race and $g$ , race and $g$ , race and $g$ , race and race an	tins accounted for a sine first years of stud gender were added t I for a significant 8% 78, p = .000. regression model wa	y, R <sup>2</sup> = .352, F (3, 1 o the regression e of the variability i	15 ) = 20.89, <i>p</i> = . quation, and the	.000. Y		var 115 • In the yea	iance in the ac $b_{0} = 12.31, p = 100$ model 2, race a y collectively a r academic suc	lomains accoun ademic success .000. and gender wer accounted for a cccess, R <sup>2</sup> =.280, le regression moc	in th re add signi <i>F</i> (5,
	N	fodel	Unstandardized Coefficients B	Standardised coefficients Beta	Sig				Model	Un
	1	NBT Mathematics NBT Academic literacy NBT Quantitative literacy	.139 .247 .166	.249 .261 .245	.004			1	NBT Mathematics NBT Academic literacy NBT Quantitative literacy	
	2	NBT Mathematics NBT Academic literacy NBT Quantitative literacy Race Gender	.162 .229 .183 446 1.158	288 242 270 074 .076	.002 .010 .006 .387 .352			2	NBT Quantitative interacy NBT Mathematics NBT Academic literacy NBT Quantitative literacy Race Gender	
UNIVERSIT WITWATE JOHAN	TY OF THE ERSRAND INESBURG	CHS CONTRETORIA	EADH ANDA			_		TY OF THE ERSRAND NNESBURG		SE

<ul> <li>115)</li> <li>In m they year</li> </ul>	nce in the a = 12.31, p = nodel 2, race collectively academic s	domains account icademic success = .000. e and gender were accounted for a s uccess, R <sup>2</sup> =.280, the regression mod	in the third ye e added to the significant 379 F (5, 113) = 8.	ear of study, e regression % of the vari 77, p = .000	R <sup>2</sup> =.24 equati ability	13, F (3, on, and
			Linstandardized	Standardized	<u> </u>	V
		Model	Coefficients	Coefficients	Sie.	
			В	Beta		
		NBT Mathematics	.050	.120	.199	
	1	NBT Mathematics NBT Academic literacy	.050	.120	.199	
	1					
	1	NBT Academic literacy	.248	.343	001	
	1	NBT Academic literacy NBT Quantitative literacy	.248	.134	.001 .189	
	1	NBT Academic literacy NBT Quantitative literacy NBT Mathematics	.248 .068 .061	.343 .134 .146	.189 .143	
		NBT Academic literacy NBT Quantitative literacy NBT Mathematics NBT Academic literacy	.248 .068 .061 .174	343 .134 .146 .240	.189 .143 .023	

1: Ir co ao	ariance in the 15) = 14.03, join model 2, w ollectively ac cademic succ	at domains account e academic success o = .000. hen race and gende counted for a signif cess, R <sup>2</sup> =.312, F (5, of the regression mod	in the final year er were added to icant 44% of the 113) = 10.24, p =	of study, $R^2 = .2$ o the model, th variability in t = .000.	268 <i>, F</i> (i ey	3,
N		Model	Unstandardized Coefficients	Standardized Coefficients		
		Model	B	Beta	Sig.	
		NBT Mathematics	.026	.090	.325	
	1	NBT Academic literacy	.173	.346	.000	
			065	186	065	
		NBT Quantitative literacy	.065	.186	.065	
		NBT Quantitative literacy NBT Mathematics	.065	.186	.065	
	2	NBT Mathematics	.038	.133	.171	
	2	NBT Mathematics NBT Academic literacy	.038	.133 .238	.171	

	Discussion
*	Academic literacy was a constant predictor of success in the first, third and sixth year of study.
	o Why?
÷	Gender emerged as an important predictor of success:
	<ul> <li>Female students work more harder than male students (Stoet, Geary, 2015; Zhou, 2014).</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>More female admitted to the MBBCh programme (Spielmans &amp; Julka, 2004)</li> </ul>
*	Social background plays a key role in students admission tests (Simmenroth- Nayda & Gorlich, 2015) and in academic performance (Subotzky & Prinsloo, 2011).
÷	Patterns of privilege: Of 119 successful students, 42 attended quintile five schools and 51 attended quintile 6 schools.

eaucation, 14(1), 87.	<ul> <li>Only students with unimpeded academic progress were selected.</li> <li>Other possible predicting variables were not considered in the study         <ul> <li>Place of origin</li> <li>First generation students</li> <li>Financial assistance</li> </ul> </li> <li>Reconsider our position regarding the social justice concept         <ul> <li>Develop and implement support for students according to the NBT performance levels results in which they were admitted with.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Overrepresentation of students who attended quintile five and six schools.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>DeBerard, M. S., Spielmans, G., &amp; Julia, D. (2004). Predictors of academic achievement and retention among ocloge freshmen: A longitudinal study. <i>Colleg student journal</i>, 38(1), 66-80.</li> <li>Marnewick, C. (2012). The mystery of student selection: are there any selection criteria?. <i>Educational Studes</i>, 38(2), 1123-137.</li> <li>Simmenroth-Nayda, A., &amp; Görlich, Y. (2015). Medical achool admission test: advantages for students whose parents are medical doctors?. <i>BMC medical education</i>, 55(1), 81.</li> <li>Stoet, G., &amp; Geary, D. C. (2015). Sex differences in academic achievement are not related to political, economic, or social equality. <i>Intelligence</i>, 48, 137-151.</li> <li>George Subotxly &amp; Paul Prinsloo (2011). Turning the tide: a socio-critical medical advantages in open distance learning at the University of South Africa. <i>Distance Education</i> 32(2), 177-193.</li> <li>Van der Merve, L. J., Van 2, Y. G. J., St Clair Gibson, A., Viljoen, M., Iputo, J. E., Mammen, M., &amp; Green-Thompson, L. (2016). South African medical schools: Current state of selection criteria and medical studenty into programming curses at a South African Medical Journal, 100(1), 78-81.</li> <li>Van der Westhuken, D., &amp; Barlow-Jones, G. (2015). High school mathematics marks as an admission criterion for entry into programming curses at a South African Medical Journal, 100(1), 78-81.</li> <li>Van der Westhuken, D., &amp; Barlow-J. (2015). High school mathematics marks as an admission criterion for entry into programming curses at a South African Medical Journal, 100(1), 78-81.</li> <li>Van der Merkhon, D., &amp; Barlow-Jones, G. (2015). High school mathematics marks as an admission criterion for entry into programming curses at a South African Medical Journal, 100(1), 78-81.</li> <li>Van der Merkhon, D., &amp; Barlow-Jones, G. (2015). High school mathematics marks as an admission criterion for entry into programming curses at a South African Medical Journal, 100(1), 78-81.</li> <li>Van der Merk</li></ul>
-----------------------	---	--

