## Problem statement & Rationale

### Problem:

Unmapped and hidden curriculum at the margins of institutional structure that impact student success at the center

Rationale:

Need to scaffold student learning in the formal and informal curriculum Need for coherence in institutional and hidden curriculum

(Bass, 2012; Elliot et al, 2016)

Question:

Can curriculum and its workers at the margins offer unique knowledge contributions for curriculum transformation and student success at the center?



Approach & Methodology

Transformation from the margins

Revealing and constructing co-curriculum at

DUT

Siyaphumelela Conference 28 June 2017

Fathima Haffajee, Director: Midlands Student Services and Development

Rudi Buys, Dean of Humanities, Cornerstone Institute

#### **Qualitative Action Research**

Community of practice Change of practice for praxis Participation and co-authorship

#### Methods:

2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> person action research (Reason, 2001) Surveys &interviews Content and discourse analysis

Aims:

Conceptually frame co-curriculum project Assess coherence of project design Reflect on emerging findings



#### Key concepts: curriculum

Formal: organized engagement with codified knowledge for qualification

Informal: self-directed, incidental &tacit with uncodified knowledge

Hidden: unintentional, concealed values & hierarchies by default in institutional culture and student engagement

Co-curriculum: organized engagement for student development, wellness and student life

Pathways: actual lived experiences and encounters of students

Students: institutional cultural, political & knowledge workers



### **Project Overview**

DUT WIG:

Increase throughput rates from 33% (2016) to 40% (2020)

PROJECT WIG: Graduate Attributes aligned co-curriculum transcripts

#### Project WIG support student success with:

- 1. Evidence of *decreased student load* outside of class
- 2. Evidence of student development aligned to GAs
- Evidence of *integration* of student development and services
  Evidence of *data driven analysis* & assessment



# Framework of concepts: analysis

Margins (Giroux & McLaren, 1992): Formal curriculum and authors (faculty) at the center Students and practitioners (services) at the margin Distances and interfaces

3rd Space (Bhabha, 1994 & Gutierrez, 2008): Curriculum transition and inbetweenness Potentiality and intentionality Structure and agency

Educational 'darkness' (Bengtsen & Barnett, 2016; Spivak, 1988): Interstices between formal and informal curriculum Complexity, transitional and undefined Subaltern cultural workers



## **Project Overview**

Phase 1: Project conceptualising and planning (March-April '17)

Phase 2a: Develop audit survey (May '17) Phase 2b: Collect audit data (June-July '17)

Phase 3: Data analysis and review of SDPs (Aug '17)

Phase 4a: Benchmark study on SDPs curriculum (Aug-Sep '17) Phase 4b: Curriculum review & adjustments (Sep '17)

Phase 5: Systems review & adjustment (Oct-Nov '17) Phase 6: Design, rollout & review of pilot SDP (Nov-Feb '18) Phase 7: Implementation of co-curriculum plan (2<sup>nd</sup> Term '18



## **Emerging Findings**

Questions on faculty autonomy: Staff queries and concerns on coordinative intent of co-curriculum

Reflective assessment practice: Staff and student struggle with survey questions on alignment and assessment

Transitional institutional practice:

Scaffolding to bridge curriculums through General Education and CELT Extreme silence about the margins and undefined 3<sup>rd</sup> space

## Teaching & Learning engagement:

Institutional ownership of project Integrated planning and cross faculty collaboration



